CBoard (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3125 OF 2004

PETITIONERS

1. Ashok Garg, S/o. Dhaniram Garg, Aged about 25 years, Presently posted as Constable No. 809 (Old No. 71), Police Station Audhi, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

2. Ramendra Singh, S/o. Ramhans Singh, Aged about 31 years, Presently posted as Constable No. 808 (Old No.307), Police Station Audhi, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

3. Brijesh Kumar Pandey, S/o. Shri Awadh Narayan Pandey, age 34 years, Presently posted as Constable No. 625 (Old No.394), Police Station Somni, Dist. Rajnandgaon,

P.R. No. 3120/04 Presented by Shri B.L. Gupta dated 6-9-04

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India
Through the Secretary,
Department of Personnel, Public Grievance and Pension, Third Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.

2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Home Affairs,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Department of Home Affairs,
D.K.S. Building, Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Director General of Police,
State of Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

R/C Shri Jaidev 6/9/04 Clerk to Shri VINAY HARIT Senior Central Government Standing Counsel CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

RECEIPT COPY 6/9/04 Bilaspur Clerk to A

(4)

5. Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Police Head Quarters, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.).

6. Superintendent of Police, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3125/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21/9/2004 Shri H.S. Patel, Advocate for the petitioners. Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A., for the State of Chhattisgarh. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that in similar matter bearing W.P. No. 578/04, orders have been passed. He prays that similar order may be passed in this case also. Prayer is not opposed by the other side. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and in view of the judgment cited above and further the legal position, the action of the State Authorities in not relieving the petitioners cannot be sustained and the petitioners are entitled for relieving. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from the date of production of copy of this order. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 2724/2004 and I.A. No.6676/04 stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे देखिये